

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/634,171	08/09/2000	Emanuel Israel Cooper	13521(ARC9-2000-0067-US1)	5758
7590 11/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Marvin Bressl	er		SHEEHAN,	JOHN P
Scully Scott Mu	ırphy & Presser			
400 Garden City Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Garden City, N	Y 11530		1742	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/634,171	COOPER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	John P. Sheehan	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amend lotice of Appeal (with appea	ment, affidavit, or other evide I fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da	ite of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire</li> </ul>			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of counder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	706.07(f). te on which the petition under 3 extension and the correspondin e shortened statutory period for ter than three months after the i	7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropri g amount of the fee. The appropressive the ground of the fee.	ate extension fee oriate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 November 2005.  of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37)  appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re	(a)), or any extension thereo	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
AMENDMENTS	. In the Parks that date of 6th		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further of</li> </ol>			because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		(300 110 1 = 30.011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	-	finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	.121. See attached Notice o	f Non-Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(	• •	amanda dinah filad amanda	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable it submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	but before or on the date of t and sufficient reasons why th	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> ne affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections und	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claim	ns after entry is below or attac	ched.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered to <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	out does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	^
13.  Other:		John The	10
		John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1742	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' arguments and comments regarding the rejection and the declaration submitted June 10, 2004 are not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the Final Rejection. Even, if for the sake of discussion, the applicants' process and Kakuno's process are different applicants have not established that the products are in fact different. Further, for the reasons set forth in the Final Rejection, Hong Xu's declaration submitted June 10, 2004 is not commensuatre in scope to the claims and therefore is not persuasive. Applicants' arguments regarding the impurity level and crystal size of the claimed product are not persuasive in that the claims are silent with respect to each of these properties.